WisDOT Research Coordination Section

Wisconsin Department of Transportation 4802 Sheboygan Ave., Rm. 451 Madison, WI 53707-7965 www.dot.state.wi.us/dtid/research

Nina McLawhorn, Research Administrator Ann Pahnke, Program Analyst Patrick Casey, Communications Consultant

Implementation of Research Results

Project Information								
Project Title: A Literature and Best Practices Scan: Perspectives and	Project ID: 0092-02-12 Today's Date: July 3, 2002							
Expectations of drivers								
Technical Oversight Committee (WHRP or COR):	TOC Chair and Phone number:							
COR	John Corbin, 414-227-2150							
Project Start Date: October 1, 2001	Approved Contract Amount: \$10,000							
Project End Date: September 30, 2002	Final Project Expenditures: \$10,000							
Reference Final Report Draft Dated: May, 2002								
Principal Investigator: Alan Horowitz	Phone: 414-229-6685							
Organization: University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee	E-Mail: horowitz@uwm.edu							

Technical Oversight Committee Recommendations							
1. Check one of the implementation choices below:							
☐ Yes, we recommend changes to current practice based on	some or all of the results of this report.						
□ No, we do not recommend changes to current practice at this time; however, results confirm current practice.							
X No, we do not recommend changes to current practice at this time. This approach does not appear fruitful OR future							
study is needed OR our objectives have changed. Other:							
2. If implementation is not recommended, we suggest the follow							
a. Review and revise internal organizational roles and responsibilities to ensure accountability and staff to pursue further research							
recommended by this and other traffic operations and ITS investigations.							
	b. Incorporate Transportation Management Center (TMC) Pooled-Fund Study research findings on changeable message sign operations into						
	permanent and portable dynamic message signs through training of						
contractors and staff.							
c. Identify opportunities within the TMC and Smart Work Zone pooled-fund studies to pursue research recommended by this investigation. d. Utilize interagency partnerships through the Gary-Chicago-Milwaukee (GCM) Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) Priority Corridor							
Program to jointly pursue research recommended by this investigation.							
e. Contact the University of Minnesota's ITS Center of Excellence to investigate development of a Midwestern consortium for traffic							
operations and ITS research and training.	S						
3. If implementation is recommended, we suggest the following	specific changes to current practice, detailed on the attached						
work plan and timeline (check applicable items):	<u> </u>						
Not Applicable							
4. Approval of this implementation plan by the Technical	TOC Chair Signature:						
Oversight Committee (chair on behalf of entire committee).							
This applies to both COR and WHRP projects.	Date:						
5. Review by appropriate WisDOT/Industry committee. This	☐ Reviewed bycommittee.						
applies to WHRP projects.	·						
	Date:						
6. Review by appropriate WisDOT policy committee if	☐ Reviewed bycommittee.						
applicable. This applies to COR projects.	•						
	Date:						
7. Approval of work plan and timeline by the WisDOT	Bureau Director signature(s):						
Bureau Director(s) responsible for the policies, procedures	3 ()						
or specifications described in item #3 above:	Date:						
8. Acceptance by a project manager of the responsibility for	Project Manager signature:						
completing these implementation efforts according to the	,						
attached work plan and timeline:	Date:						
Rev. 5-29-02	Page 1 of 2						

WisDOT Research Coordination Section

Wisconsin Department of Transportation 4802 Sheboygan Ave., Rm. 451 Madison, WI 53707-7965 www.dot.state.wi.us/dtid/research

Nina McLawhorn, Research Administrator Ann Pahnke, Program Analyst Patrick Casey, Communications Consultant

Implementation Work Plan									
1. Project Title:	2. Prepared	d by:							
3. Scope and objectives of implementation, including specific changes to WisDOT procedures.									
4. Estimated cost (if any) to implement.									
	• ,•	• 41	``						
5. Expected benefits and how they will be measured (dollar sav	ings, time sav	ings, oth	ier).						
6. Possible pitfalls and how they will be avoided.									
o. I ossible pittans and now they will be avoided.									
7. Implementation T	imeline (G	antt Ch	art)						
Tasks/Person Responsible				 		_			
				+ +					
				+ +		+			
				+ +		+			
Post-Implemen	tation Che	cklist							
8. After implementation is complete and a suitable period of ti			rate the im	pact of tl	he researc	ch:			
☐ Resulted in measurable change to department procedure	S.								
 □ Resulted in qualitative change to department procedures although it cannot be measured. □ No known changes at this time as a result of the project, but useful knowledge was gained. 									
☐ Research results were not useable.									
Comments:									
Rev. 5-29-02						Pa	ige 2 of 2		